

United States Patent and Trademark Office

0

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/055,849	01/14/2002	Nicholas P. Van Brunt	7175-74108	8532	
7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER		
Ronald S Henderson Esq			DEMILLE, DANTON D		
BARNES & TH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Indianapolis, IN 46204			3764		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	74			
Office Action Summary		10/055,84	9	VAN BRUNT ET AL.	0,			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Danton D		3764				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PRIOR OF THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as an operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event. a reply within the staturied will apply and witatute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 March 2004.						
2a)[
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-119</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-119</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2002</u> is/							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-					
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	·	= : :					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	nte the attached Office	Action of form PTO-	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/055,849

Art Unit: 3764

Page 2

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37

CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 14-119 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35

U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will

overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in

the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must

be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Ronald Henderson on 21 July 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 44, line 7, "positive" has been replaced by --continuous--. In line 12, "positive"

has been replaced by --continuous--.

In claim 90, line 7, "about" has been changed to --above--.

ddd

21 July, 2004

(703) 308-3713

Fax: (703) 872-9306

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3764